

Modular - Feature Request #793 excluding addresses in jcom.cueManager

2011-06-29 10:17 am - Pascal Baltazar

Status:	Closed	Start date:	2011-06-29
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:	Théo de la Hogue	% Done:	0%
Category:		Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:	MVC for 0.6	Spent time:	0.00 hour
Branch:			
Description			
<p>as there is a "names" attribute in jcom.cueManager, it would be very useful to have a "exclude" attribute, allowing one to choose which parts of the namespace he would like NOT to have in his cue</p> <p>this was a feature of jmod.cueManager, allowing among others excluding parameters that are currently mapped (with mode x-> in jmod.mapperContinuous)</p> <p>this also a question of "economy", when only a few modules are to be excluded from the cue, it's better to specify which ones are to be excluded than the whole list of those to be included</p>			
Related issues:			
Related to Modular - Feature Request # 834: jcom.cuemanager "addresses" message		Closed	2011-07-15

History

#1 - 2011-07-02 04:53 pm - Pascal Baltazar

- Priority changed from High to Low

this will be addressed with some other features after the tapemovie meeting mid-july - downgrading priority to low

#2 - 2011-07-18 10:32 am - Pascal Baltazar

another filtering type would be to use tags, e.g. :

- tag/include : only gets the parameter values which have one or more of the given list of tags
- tag/exclude : gets all parameters values except those who have one or more of the given list of tags

#3 - 2011-07-18 10:33 am - Pascal Baltazar

- Priority changed from Low to Normal

#4 - 2012-06-28 10:53 am - Théo de la Hogue

- Status changed from New to Resolved

is this topic still open now there is no more "addresses" attribute ?

Now it's possible to edit a namespace (using filters) with the jcom.namespace and to use this namespace with the jcom.cue.

So I think many of your needs are cover by this new feature.

what's you're opinion ?

#5 - 2012-08-02 02:24 pm - Pascal Baltazar

- Status changed from Resolved to Closed

yes, they are, thanks !